

Chapter 6

Optimistic Shannon Coding Theorems for Arbitrary Single-User Systems

Po-Ning Chen

Department of Communications Engineering

National Chiao-Tung University

Hsin Chu, Taiwan 30050

Motivations

II: 6-1

- The conventional definition of the minimum achievable fixed-length source coding rate $T(\mathbf{X})$ (or $T_0(\mathbf{X})$) for a source \mathbf{X} (cf. Definition 3.2) requires the existence of reliable source codes for *all sufficiently large blocklengths*.
- Alternatively, if it is required that reliable codes exist for *infinitely many blocklengths*, a new, more *optimistic* definition of source coding rate (denoted by $\underline{T}(\mathbf{X})$) is obtained.
- Similarly, the *optimistic capacity* \bar{C} is defined by requiring the existence of reliable channel codes for infinitely many blocklengths, as opposed to the definition of the conventional channel capacity C .

Why introducing Optimistic quantities?

II: 6-2

- This concept of optimistic source coding rate and capacity has recently been investigated by Verdú *et. al* for *arbitrary* (not necessarily stationary, ergodic, information stable, etc.) sources and single-user channels.
- More specifically, they establish an additional *operational* characterization for the optimistic minimum achievable source coding rate ($\underline{T}(\mathbf{X})$) for source \mathbf{X}) by demonstrating that for a given channel, the classical statement of the source-channel separation theorem holds for every channel if $\underline{T}(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{X})$.

(Classical statement of source-channel separation theorem)

Given a source \mathbf{X} with (conventional) source coding rate $T(\mathbf{X})$ and channel \mathbf{W} with capacity C , then \mathbf{X} can be reliably transmitted over \mathbf{W} if $T(\mathbf{X}) < C$. Conversely, if $T(\mathbf{X}) > C$, then \mathbf{X} cannot be reliably transmitted over \mathbf{W} .

(Reliable transmission) There exists a sequence of joint source-channel codes such that the decoding error probability vanishes as the blocklength $n \rightarrow \infty$.

- In a dual fashion, they also show that for channels with $\bar{C} = C$, the classical separation theorem holds for every source.

Outlines

II: 6-3

- In this chapter, we derive the general formula for $\underline{T}(\mathbf{X})$ and \bar{C} .
- The key to these results is the application of the generalized sup-information rate introduced in Chapter 2 to the existing proofs by Verdú and Han of the direct and converse parts of the conventional coding theorems.
- General expressions for the optimistic minimum ε -achievable source coding rate and the optimistic ε -capacity are also provided.

Optimistic source coding theorems

II: 6-4

- *Key: two new bounds* due to Han on the error probability of a source code as a function of its size.
- Interestingly, these bounds constitute the natural counterparts of the upper bound provided by Feinstein's Lemma and the Verdú-Han lower bound to the error probability of a channel code.
- With this result, the formula for $\underline{T}(\mathbf{X})$ for information station sources reduces to

$$\underline{T}(\mathbf{X}) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(X^n).$$

- This is in contrast to the expression for $T(\mathbf{X})$, which is known to be

$$T(\mathbf{X}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(X^n).$$

- (Summary) The above result leads us to observe that for sources that are both stationary and information stable, the classical separation theorem is valid for every channel.
- Note that Vembu *et. al* demonstrate that for a given source \mathbf{X} , the separation theorem holds for every channel if its optimistic minimum achievable source coding rate ($\underline{T}(\mathbf{X})$) coincides with its conventional (or pessimistic) minimum achievable source coding rate ($T(\mathbf{X})$); i.e., if $\underline{T}(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{X})$.

Optimistic source coding theorems

II: 6-5

Definition 6.1 An (n, M) fixed-length source code for X^n is a collection of M n -tuples $\mathcal{E}_n = \{c_1^n, \dots, c_M^n\}$. The error probability of the code is

$$P_e(\mathcal{E}_n) \triangleq \Pr[X^n \notin \mathcal{E}_n].$$

Definition 6.2 (optimistic ε -achievable source coding rate)

Fix $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. $R \geq 0$ is an optimistic ε -achievable rate if, for every $\gamma > 0$, there exists a sequence of (n, M_n) fixed-length source codes \mathcal{E}_n such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log M_n \leq R$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_e(\mathcal{E}_n) \leq \varepsilon.$$

The infimum of all ε -achievable source coding rates for source \mathbf{X} is denoted by $\underline{T}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{X})$. Also define $\underline{T}(\mathbf{X}) \triangleq \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \underline{T}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{X}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \underline{T}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{X}) = \underline{T}_0(\mathbf{X})$ as the optimistic source coding rate.

Optimistic source coding theorems

II: 6-6

Lemma 3.3 Fix a positive integer n . There exists an (n, M) source block code \mathcal{E}_n for P_{X^n} such that its error probability satisfies

$$P_e(\mathcal{E}_n) \leq P_r \left[\frac{1}{n} h_{X^n}(X^n) > \frac{1}{n} \log M \right].$$

Lemma 3.4 Every (n, M) source block code \mathcal{E}_n for P_{X^n} satisfies

$$P_e(\mathcal{E}_n) \geq P_r \left[\frac{1}{n} h_{X^n}(X^n) > \frac{1}{n} \log M + \gamma \right] - \exp\{-n\gamma\},$$

for every $\gamma > 0$.

Optimistic source coding theorems

II: 6-7

We can then use Lemmas 3.4 and 3.4 (in a similar fashion to the general source coding theorems) to prove the *general* optimistic (fixed-length) source coding theorems.

Theorem 6.3 (optimistic minimum ε -achievable source coding rate formula) For any source \mathbf{X} ,

$$\underline{R}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{X}) \leq \begin{cases} \lim_{\delta \uparrow (1-\varepsilon)} \underline{H}_\delta(\mathbf{X}), & \text{for } \varepsilon \in [0, 1); \\ 0, & \text{for } \varepsilon = 1. \end{cases}$$

Information stable source

- It is already known for an information stable source \mathbf{X} ,

$$T(\mathbf{X}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(X^n).$$

- We herein prove a parallel expression for $\underline{T}(\mathbf{X})$.

Definition 6.4 (information stable sources) A source \mathbf{X} is said to be information stable if $H(X^n) > 0$ for n sufficiently large, and $h_{X^n}(X^n)/H(X^n)$ converges in probability to one as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_r \left[\left| \frac{h_{X^n}(X^n)}{H(X^n)} - 1 \right| > \gamma \right] = 0 \quad \forall \gamma > 0,$$

where $H(X^n) = E[h_{X^n}(X^n)]$ is the entropy of X^n .

Lemma 6.5 Every information source \mathbf{X} satisfies

$$\underline{T}(\mathbf{X}) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(X^n).$$

Information stable source

II: 6-9

Observations:

- If the source \mathbf{X} is *both* information stable *and* stationary, the above Lemma yields

$$T(\mathbf{X}) = \underline{T}(\mathbf{X}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(X^n).$$

This implies that given a stationary and information stable source \mathbf{X} , the classical separation theorem holds for every channel.

Optimistic channel coding theorems

II: 6-10

- In this section, we state without proving the general expressions for the optimistic ε -capacity (\bar{C}_ε) and for the optimistic capacity (\bar{C}) of arbitrary single-user channels.
- **(Recall)** The general expressions of the conventional channel capacity

$$C = \sup_{\mathbf{X}} \underline{I}_0(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{Y}) = \sup_{\mathbf{X}} \underline{I}(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{Y}),$$

and the conventional ε -capacity

$$\sup_{\mathbf{X}} \lim_{\delta \uparrow \varepsilon} \underline{I}_\delta(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{Y}) \leq C_\varepsilon \leq \sup_{\mathbf{X}} \underline{I}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{Y}).$$

Definition 6.6 (optimistic ε -achievable rate) Fix $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. $R \geq 0$ is an optimistic ε -achievable rate if there exists a sequence of $\mathcal{C}_n = (n, M_n)$ channel block codes such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log M_n \geq R$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_e(\mathcal{C}_n) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Definition 6.7 (optimistic ε -capacity \bar{C}_ε) Fix $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. The supremum of optimistic ε -achievable rates is called the optimistic ε -capacity, \bar{C}_ε .

Optimistic channel coding theorems

II: 6-11

It is straightforward for the definition that C_ε is non-decreasing in ε , and $C_1 = \log |\mathcal{X}|$.

Definition 6.8 (optimistic capacity \bar{C}) The optimistic channel capacity \bar{C} is defined as the supremum of the rates that are ε -achievable for all $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$. It follows immediately from the definition that $C = \inf_{0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1} C_\varepsilon = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} C_\varepsilon = C_0$ and that C is the supremum of all the rates R for which there exists a sequence of $\mathcal{E}_n = (n, M_n)$ channel block codes such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log M_n \geq R,$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_e(\mathcal{E}_n) = 0.$$

Theorem 6.9 (optimistic ε -capacity formula) Fix $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. The optimistic ε -capacity \bar{C}_ε satisfies

$$\sup_{\mathbf{X}} \lim_{\delta \uparrow \varepsilon} \bar{I}_\delta(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{Y}) \leq \bar{C}_\varepsilon \leq \sup_{\mathbf{X}} \bar{I}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{Y}). \quad (6.2.1)$$

Note that actually $\bar{C}_\varepsilon = \sup_{\mathbf{X}} \bar{I}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{Y})$, except possibly at the points of discontinuities of $\sup_{\mathbf{X}} \bar{I}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{Y})$ (which are countable).

Optimistic channel coding theorems

II: 6-12

Theorem 6.10 (optimistic capacity formula) The optimistic capacity \bar{C} satisfies

$$\bar{C} = \sup_{\mathbf{X}} \bar{I}_0(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{Y}).$$

Information stable channels

II: 6-13

- It is known that the capacity of information stable channels is

$$C = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n,$$

where

$$C_n \triangleq \sup_{X^n} \frac{1}{n} I(X^n; Y^n).$$

Definition 6.11 (Information stable channels) A channel \mathbf{W} is said to be information stable if there exists an input process \mathbf{X} such that $0 < C_n < \infty$ for n sufficiently large, and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_r \left[\left| \frac{i_{X^n W^n}(X^n; Y^n)}{nC_n} - 1 \right| > \gamma \right] = 0 \quad \forall \gamma > 0.$$

Lemma 6.12 Every information stable channel \mathbf{W} satisfies

$$\bar{C} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{X^n} \frac{1}{n} I(X^n; Y^n).$$

Information stable channels

Observations:

- It is known that for DMC,
$$\bar{C} = C.$$
- The same result holds for *modulo* – q additive noise channels with stationary ergodic noise.
- However, in general, $\bar{C} \geq C$ since $\bar{I}_0(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{Y}) \geq \underline{I}(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{Y})$.

Examples

II: 6-15

- We provide four examples to illustrate the computation of C and \bar{C} .
- The first two examples present information stable channels for which $\bar{C} > C$.
- The third example shows an information unstable channel for which $\bar{C} = C$.
- These examples indicate that information stability is neither necessary nor sufficient to ensure that $\bar{C} = C$ or thereby the validity of the classical source-channel separation theorem.

- The last example illustrates the situation where $0 < C < \bar{C} < C_{SC} < \log_2 |\mathcal{Y}|$, where C_{SC} is the channel strong capacity.

Definition. The strong (or strong converse) capacity C_{SC} is defined as the infimum of the numbers R for which for all (n, M_n) codes with $(1/n) \log M_n \geq R$, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_e(\mathcal{C}_n) = 1$. This definition of C_{SC} implies that for any sequence of (n, M_n) codes with $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/n) \log M_n > C_{SC}$, $P_e(\mathcal{C}_n) > 1 - \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for n sufficiently large.

- It is shown that $C_{SC} = \lim_{\varepsilon \uparrow 1} \bar{C}_\varepsilon = \sup_{\mathbf{X}} \bar{I}(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{Y})$.
- We assume in this section that all logarithms are in base 2 so that C and \bar{C} are measured in bits.

Information stable channels

II: 6-16

Example 6.13

- Consider a nonstationary channel \mathbf{W} such that at odd time instances $n = 1, 3, \dots$, W^n is the product of the transition distribution of a binary symmetric channel with crossover probability $1/8$ (BSC($1/8$)), and at even time instances $n = 2, 4, 6, \dots$, W^n is the product of the distribution of a BSC($1/4$).
- It can be easily verified that this channel is information stable.
- Since the channel is symmetric, a Bernoulli($1/2$) input achieves

$$C_n = \sup_{X^n} I(X^n; Y^n);$$

thus

$$C_n = \begin{cases} 1 - h_b(1/8), & \text{for } n \text{ odd;} \\ 1 - h_b(1/4), & \text{for } n \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

where $h_b(a) \triangleq -a \log_2 a - (1-a) \log_2(1-a)$ is the binary entropy function.

- Therefore, $C = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = 1 - h_b(1/4)$ and $\bar{C} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = 1 - h_b(1/8) > C$.

Information stable channels**Example 6.14**

- Let \mathcal{N} be the set of all positive integers.

- Define the set \mathcal{J} as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &\triangleq \{n \in \mathcal{N} : 2^{2i+1} \leq n < 2^{2i+2}, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \\ &= \{2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 32, 33, \dots, 63, 128, 129, \dots, 255, \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

- Consider the following nonstationary symmetric channel \mathbf{W} . At times $n \in \mathcal{J}$, W_n is a BSC(0), whereas at times $n \notin \mathcal{J}$, W_n is a BSC(1/2). Put $W^n = W_1 \times W_2 \times \dots \times W_n$.

- Here again C_n is achieved by a Bernoulli(1/2) input \hat{X}^n . We then obtain

$$C_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(\hat{X}_i; Y_i) = \frac{1}{n} [J(n) \cdot (1) + (n - J(n)) \cdot (0)] = \frac{J(n)}{n},$$

where $J(n) \triangleq |\mathcal{J} \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}|$.

Information stable channels

II: 6-18

- It can be shown that

$$\frac{J(n)}{n} = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{2^{\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor}}{n} + \frac{1}{3n}, & \text{for } \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor \text{ odd;} \\ \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{2^{\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor}}{n} - \frac{1}{3n}, & \text{for } \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

- Consequently,

$$C = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = \frac{1}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{C} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Information unstable channels

II: 6-19

Example 6.15 *The Polya-contagion channel:*

- Consider a discrete additive channel with binary input and output alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ described by

$$Y_i = X_i \oplus Z_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where X_i , Y_i and Z_i are respectively the i -th input, i -th output and i -th noise, and \oplus represents modulo-2 addition.

- Suppose that the input process is independent of the noise process.
- Also assume that the noise sequence $\{Z_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is drawn according to the Polya contagion urn scheme as follows:
 - an urn originally contains R red balls and B black balls with $R < B$;
 - the *noise* just make successive draws from the urn;
 - after each draw, it returns to the urn $1 + \Delta$ balls of the same color as was just drawn ($\Delta > 0$).
 - The noise sequence $\{Z_i\}$ corresponds to the outcomes of the draws from the Polya urn: $Z_i = 1$ if i th ball drawn is red and $Z_i = 0$, otherwise.
- Let $\rho \triangleq R/(R + B)$ and $\delta \triangleq \Delta/(R + B)$. It is shown that the noise process $\{Z_i\}$ is stationary and nonergodic; thus the channel is information unstable.

Information unstable channels

II: 6-20

- We then obtain

$$1 - \bar{H}_{1-\varepsilon}(\mathbf{X}) \leq C_\varepsilon \leq 1 - \lim_{\delta \uparrow (1-\varepsilon)} \bar{H}_\delta(\mathbf{X}),$$

and

$$1 - \underline{H}_{1-\varepsilon}(\mathbf{X}) \leq \bar{C}_\varepsilon \leq 1 - \lim_{\delta \uparrow (1-\varepsilon)} \underline{H}_\delta(\mathbf{X}).$$

- It has been shown that

$$-(1/n) \log P_{X^n}(X^n) \rightarrow V \triangleq h_b(U) \quad \text{in distribution,}$$

where U is beta-distributed with parameters $(\rho/\delta, (1-\rho)/\delta)$, and $h_b(\cdot)$ is the binary entropy function.

- Thus

$$\bar{H}_{1-\varepsilon}(\mathbf{X}) = \lim_{\delta \uparrow (1-\varepsilon)} \bar{H}_\delta(\mathbf{X}) = \underline{H}_{1-\varepsilon}(\mathbf{X}) = \lim_{\delta \uparrow (1-\varepsilon)} \underline{H}_\delta(\mathbf{X}) = F_V^{-1}(1-\varepsilon),$$

where $F_V(a) \triangleq Pr\{V \leq a\}$ is the cdf of V , and $F_V^{-1}(\cdot)$ is its inverse.

- Consequently,

$$C_\varepsilon = \bar{C}_\varepsilon = 1 - F_V^{-1}(1-\varepsilon),$$

and

$$C = \bar{C} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} [1 - F_V^{-1}(1-\varepsilon)] = 0.$$

Information unstable channels

II: 6-21

Example 6.16

- Let $\tilde{W}_1, \tilde{W}_2, \dots$ consist of the channel in Example 6.14, and let $\hat{W}_1, \hat{W}_2, \dots$ consist of the channel in Example 6.15. Define a new channel \mathbf{W} as follows:

$$W_{2i} = \tilde{W}_i \quad \text{and} \quad W_{2i-1} = \hat{W}_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

- As in the previous examples, the channel is symmetric, and a Bernoulli(1/2) input maximizes the inf/sup information rates.

Information unstable channels

II: 6-22

- Therefore for a Bernoulli(1/2) input \mathbf{X} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P_r \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{P_{W^n}(Y^n|X^n)}{P_{Y^n}(Y^n)} \leq \theta \right\} \\
 & P_r \left\{ \frac{1}{2i} \left[\log \frac{P_{\hat{W}^i}(Y^i|X^i)}{P_{Y^i}(Y^i)} + \log \frac{P_{\hat{W}^i}(Y^i|X^i)}{P_{Y^i}(Y^i)} \right] \leq \theta \right\}, \\
 & \qquad \text{if } n = 2i; \\
 = & \left\{ P_r \left\{ \frac{1}{2i+1} \left[\log \frac{P_{\hat{W}^i}(Y^i|X^i)}{P_{Y^i}(Y^i)} + \log \frac{P_{\hat{W}^{i+1}}(Y^{i+1}|X^{i+1})}{P_{Y^{i+1}}(Y^{i+1})} \right] \leq \theta \right\}, \right. \\
 & \qquad \text{if } n = 2i+1; \\
 & \left. 1 - P_r \left\{ -\frac{1}{i} \log P_{Z^i}(Z^i) < 1 - 2\theta + \frac{J(i)}{i} \right\}, \right. \\
 & \qquad \text{if } n = 2i; \\
 = & \left. \left. 1 - P_r \left\{ -\frac{1}{i+1} \log P_{Z^{i+1}}(Z^{i+1}) < 1 - \left(2 - \frac{1}{i+1} \right) \theta + \frac{J(i)}{i+1} \right\}, \right\} \right. \\
 & \qquad \text{if } n = 2i+1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Information unstable channels

II: 6-23

- The fact that

$$-(1/i) \log[P_{Z^i}(Z^i)] \rightarrow V \triangleq h_b(U) \quad \text{in distribution,}$$

where U is beta-distributed with parameters $(\rho/\delta, (1-\rho)/\delta)$, and the fact that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} J(n) = \frac{1}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} J(n) = \frac{2}{3}$$

imply that

$$\underline{i}(\theta) \triangleq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_r \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{P_{W^n}(Y^n|X^n)}{P_{Y^n}(Y^n)} \leq \theta \right\} = 1 - F_V \left(\frac{5}{3} - 2\theta \right),$$

and

$$\bar{i}(\theta) \triangleq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_r \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{P_{W^n}(Y^n|X^n)}{P_{Y^n}(Y^n)} \leq \theta \right\} = 1 - F_V \left(\frac{4}{3} - 2\theta \right).$$

- Consequently,

$$\bar{C}_\varepsilon = \frac{5}{6} - \frac{1}{2} F_V^{-1}(1 - \varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad C_\varepsilon = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{2} F_V^{-1}(1 - \varepsilon).$$

- Thus

$$0 < C = \frac{1}{6} < \bar{C} = \frac{1}{3} < C_{SG} = \frac{5}{6} < \log_2 |\mathcal{Y}| = 1.$$